

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/777,292	Applicant(s) MCCABE, HARRY	
	Examiner Christopher S. McCarthy	Art Unit 2113	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher S. McCarthy.

(2) Daniel Golub, Reg. # 33,701.

Status of Application: Condition for Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible USC 112

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 15 cited the language "the" without proper antecedence; an examiner's amendment has been provided to solve the issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)